1	BEFORE THE OHIO BOARD OF ELECTIONS
2	BOARD MEETING
3	
4	PROCEEDINGS
5	before Douglas Preiss, Chairman, Zachary Manifold and
6	Kimberly Marinello, Board Members, at the Board of
7	Elections, 280 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio,
8	First Floor, Columbus, Ohio, called at 3:00 p.m. on
9	Monday, June 4, 2012.
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
19	Columbus, Ohio 43215
20	(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481 Fax - (614) 224-5724
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

		2
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Richard Cline & Co., LLC By Mr. Richard A. Cline	
3	580 South High Street, Suite 200 Columbus, Ohio 43215	
4	On behalf of the Ms. Jamison.	
5		
6	Franklin County Prosecutor's Office By Mr. Nick A. Soulas, Jr.	
7	And Mr. Harold J. Anderson 373 South High Street, 13th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
9	On behalf of the Board.	
10		
11	Also Present:	
12	William A. Anthony, Jr., Director Dana Walch, Deputy Director	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		3
1 2	INDEX	
3	WITNESSES PAGE	
4	WILLIAM A. ANTHONY, JR. Direct Examination by Mr. Cline 30	
5	Examination by Chairman Preiss 39 Further Direct Exam by Mr. Cline 40	
6	TERRI B. JAMISON	
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Cline 44 Cross-Examination by Mr. Anderson 50	
8	BRANDY GARCIA	
9	Direct Examination by Mr. Anderson 56 Cross-Examination by Mr. Cline 58	
10	JEFFREY A. BROWN	
11	Direct Examination by Mr. Anderson 60 Cross-Examination by Mr. Cline 61	
12		
13	BOARD EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED ADMITTED	
14	1 - Jamison Affidavit 26 53	
15	2 - Gary Affidavit 26 53	
16	3 - Lacey Affidavit 26 53	
17	4 - 3/19/2012 Meeting Minutes 27 53	
18	5 - 5/7/2012 Meeting Minutes 27 53	
19		
20	JAMISON EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED ADMITTED	
21	1 - 3/19/12 Meeting Minutes 31 53	
22 23	pages 18-19 2 - 3/19/12 Meeting Minutes 33 53	
24	page 23	
	3 - Cotton Email 34 53	
25		

		4
1	INDEX (Continued)	
2		
3	JAMISON EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED ADMITTED	
4	4 - Mackey email 35 53	
5	5 - Featherstone Affidavit 46 53	
6	6 - Part-petition 36 53	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

```
5
1
                                Monday Afternoon Session,
                                June 4, 2012.
2
3
4
                 MR. ANTHONY: I would like to call the
5
    Franklin County Board of Elections meeting to order.
6
                 I'll do a roll call.
7
                 Kimberly Marinello?
8
                 MS. MARINELLO: Here.
9
                 MR. ANTHONY: Zachary Manifold?
10
                 MR. MANIFOLD: Here.
11
                 MR. ANTHONY: Douglas Preiss?
12
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS: Here.
13
                 MR. ANTHONY: We have a quorum, sir.
                 The first item on the agenda is approval
14
15
    of the minutes from the May 7, 2010 [verbatim],
16
    meeting. Is there a motion?
17
                 MS. MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move to
    approve the minutes of the May 7, 2012, meeting of
18
19
    the Franklin County Board of Elections.
20
                 MR. ANTHONY: Is there a second?
21
                 MR. MANIFOLD: Second.
2.2
                 MR. ANTHONY: All in favor?
23
                 ALL: Aye.
24
                 MR. ANTHONY: Minutes are approved.
25
                 And I will send around a log for you to
```

sign.

2.2

The second item on the agenda is the approval of a Babbage-Simmel contract. Did I pronounce that right?

The Board wanted to use Babbage-Simmel to do our election administration plan. They prepared our plan last year and this year we have to submit -- I guess it's a yearly plan based on the directive from the SOS, and it satisfies a lawsuit that was filed by the League of Women Voters. And we asked them to put together our plan for the fall election 2011.

They did a great job; you guys recall the report they put together for our March election. So that's before you. The contract for Babbage-Simmel is \$18,750.

Dana, you want to add anything?

MR. WALCH: Just that in your packets of information I have a proposal that was put forth by Babbage-Simmel. This is state term contract pricing that we get, so through the state term contract we do get a little bit better rate than we would otherwise.

And because of the fact that they've already worked on our big master plan, we thought going with them on this made sense because they do

1 have a lot of already existing knowledge of our 2 operation and it would be helpful in administering 3 the new plan. 4 CHAIRMAN PREISS: Is there anybody here 5 from there? MR. WALCH: I don't believe so. 6 7 MR. ANTHONY: No. 8 CHAIRMAN PREISS: All right. We reviewed some of this prior and you're all happy with their 9 work so far? 10 11 MR. WALCH: Very much so. 12 MR. ANTHONY: Yes, sir, we are. 13 CHAIRMAN PREISS: Able advisors guidance heretofore. 14 15 MR. MANIFOLD: I think the primary was 16 very good. I think the primary EAP plan was a great 17 plan and it was --MS. MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move the 18 19 Board authorize the Director and Deputy Director 20 approve the service contract in the amount of \$18,750 21 for Babbage-Simmel for assistance in the development 2.2 of the election administration plan for the 2011 23 general election.

MR. ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MR. MANIFOLD: Second.

24

```
1
                 MR. ANTHONY: All those in favor say
2
     "aye."
3
                 ALL: Aye.
4
                 MR. ANTHONY: That motion carries.
5
                 The next item on the agenda is the
6
     employee pay raises. You have in your packet a
7
    Resolution No. 0411-12 for the County Commissioners
8
    to approve the 1 percent pay raise for all
9
    non-bargaining employees basically across the board.
10
    And if we want to participate in that, then we would
11
    have to have this Board approve it. So we're
12
    bringing this before you.
13
                 We recommend that it's approved.
14
    money's already budgeted in the County Commission's
15
    budget. So, not have any impact on our budget.
16
     it would be retroactive to April 1, 2012.
17
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS:
                                   This has taken place
     throughout the rest of county government as been
18
19
    described.
20
                 MR. ANTHONY:
                               That's correct.
21
                 MR. WALCH: That's correct.
2.2
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS: All right.
23
                 MR. MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move that
24
    the Board accept the supplemental preparations from
25
     the County Commissioners related to the 1 percent pay
```

```
1
     increase for non-bargaining employees contained
    within Resolution No. 411-12 and that the Board
2
3
     administer 1 percent pay increase to each Board of
4
    Elections employee retroactive to April 1, 2012.
5
                 MR. ANTHONY: Is there a second?
6
                 MS. MARINELLO: Second.
7
                 MR. ANTHONY: All those in favor say
8
     "ave."
9
                 ALL:
                       Aye.
                 MR. ANTHONY:
                               That motion carries as
10
11
    well.
12
                 At this point we asked the personnel --
13
     I'm ahead of myself.
14
                 We wanted to discuss -- this is open for
15
    discussion. A while back we had a situation with
16
    coffee. We had provided coffee in our break room for
17
    a lot of -- all the folks that come into our building
    from workers to visitors to you name it. And we
18
19
    found that it was -- it became folks expected it and
20
    when we have people coming through here to visit,
21
    tours, it's good to offer them a beverage. Often
2.2
     they would like a cup of coffee.
```

We had a situation with the Auditor's

Office where they mentioned the Board would have to
approve that purchase and so we wanted to kind of

23

24

```
talk about it or put it on the agenda and so you guys know we talked about it. We would have to do a -- have it basically approved by the Board as part of our work rules, I guess, for lack of better.
```

2.2

MR. WALCH: Yeah, the Auditor's Office explained to us that to do such a purchase like this, we would need to have a formal resolution of the Board in order to do that. So we wanted to bring it to your attention and have you discuss it to see if that's something you wanted to do or not wanted to do in the future.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: I have offered to buy a machine for the office and then let employees, if that -- if permitted, employees would bring in their own little canisters.

But it strikes me too here as we sit here, couldn't some vendor put in a machine that's coin operated or something? Or do we not want to get into that?

MS. BROWN: We don't have the space for that.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: We don't have the space for that.

Well, I'm turning to the Prosecutor's representatives, our legal counsel. Is there a

reason that I couldn't buy a machine and employees could bring in their own little, you know, those modern machines you have now you put your little coffee in?

2.2

It strikes me that we probably -- there's a lot of people that drink coffee and a lot of them don't. So I don't know that we should be using taxpayer money to subsidize the habits of the coffee drinkers like me when other employees aren't taking it.

MR. SOULAS: If you're talking about you personally purchasing the machine and using it in here, there is no problem with that. The Auditor's Office in recent years has been challenging a lot of expenditures, just FYI for the Board, challenging a lot of those types of expenditures and have been asking the different boards and elected officials whether you're buying Kleenex for victims of crime, they've asked us to adopt a policy for coffee which we don't provide in our office.

But other offices have to adopt a policy that reflects -- or work rule that reflects that it is a valid public purpose. It serves a valid public purpose to provide those types of amenities. But your proposed solution would probably be the best

```
solution, quite frankly.
```

2 MS. MARINELLO: I would be willing to 3 chip in on that.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: All right. And then I think that would work. So we wouldn't have to take any action on this at all.

MR. ANTHONY: No. Just item of discussion.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: For the coffee drinking employees in the room, I'm going to buy you a machine. Seems like others may chip in too, but you have to bring your own coffee.

MR. ANTHONY: Well discussed, it's on the agenda, it became kind of interesting.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Sure. We want to comply with the Auditor's wishes.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ ANTHONY: Dana and I will follow up on that, Mr. Chairman.

The next item on the agenda, as you all know we have a special election August the 7th, and we need to set the in-person absentee voting hours for the special election. And we are proposing that we set the hours Monday through Friday 8:00 to 5:00 p.m. Tuesday, July 3, through Friday, August 3.

And we would be here at the Board of

```
Elections. Since it's just such a small special
we'll probably hold it in our public room, that
little room, probably set up maybe two machines. I'm
not sure we need more than one or two machines set
up.
```

And we'll keep it locked and folks come in and have them vote. But make that available for them 8:00 to 5:00 Monday through Friday.

2.2

MR. WALCH: This has been pretty much the standard operating procedure when there's been a smaller special like this with this only being for Madison School District being on the August 7 ballot. This is very consistent with what's been done in the past.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Okay.

MR. ANTHONY: Any questions?

MS. MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board set in-person absentee voting hours Monday through Friday 8:00 to 5:00, 8:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. beginning Tuesday, July 3, and running through Friday, August 3, at the Board office, located at 280 East Broad Street, Columbus.

MR. ANTHONY: Is there a second?

MR. MANIFOLD: Second.

MR. ANTHONY: All in favor say "aye."

ALL: Aye.

2.2

MR. ANTHONY: The next item on the agenda, and I should probably let you take this one, Dana.

MR. WALCH: This has to deal with some issues regarding the Franklin County Democratic Party campaign finance reports. We were presented with a letter in response from Donald McTigue, who's legal counsel for the Franklin County Democratic Party, in response to some questions that we had about some past filings.

You all have a copy of Mr. McTigue's response in that. The staff does have some questions regarding the topics that Mr. McTigue has brought up in his letter, and we were going to ask the Board for authorization to refer some questions over to the Ohio Elections Commission on this matter for clarification from them.

Does anybody have any questions or anything on that?

MR. MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board authorize the staff to refer to the Ohio Elections Commission questions regarding the May 9, 2011, letter submitted to the Board by Donald McTigue in response to the Board's inquiry into the finance

```
1
    reports filed by the Franklin County Democratic
2
    Party.
3
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS:
                                   Second.
4
                 MR. ANTHONY: We'll do a roll call.
5
                 Kim Marinello?
6
                 MS. MARINELLO: Abstain.
7
                 MR. ANTHONY: Zach Manifold?
8
                 MR. MANIFOLD: Yes.
9
                 MR. ANTHONY: Douglas Preiss?
10
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS: Yes.
11
                 MR. ANTHONY: Motion carries.
12
                 The next item on the agenda, only if you
     guys want to we move to executive session to discuss
13
14
     the personnel issues.
15
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS: I don't have any
16
    personnel issues so it's up to you.
17
                 MR. ANTHONY: We don't have any either.
                 So the next item on the agenda, we had
18
19
     several staff resignations that just came in, we'll
20
    do them one at a time. The first one that's, if you
21
     guys recall Jim Beier, B-e-i-e-r, went out on
    disability when he had his leg amputated due to some
2.2
23
    complications with diabetes, and we put him on
24
    basically leave without pay for about six months.
25
                 He has since decided that he's going
```

```
to -- he has the age to retire, so he submitted his resignation effective May 17, 2011. And that's before you now.
```

MS. MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, I move the Board accept the resignation of Jim Beier effective May 17, 2011, and thank him for service to our office.

MR. ANTHONY: Second?

MS. MARINELLO: Second.

MR. ANTHONY: All in favor say "aye."

ALL: Aye.

2.2

MR. ANTHONY: Motion carries.

The next resignation?

MR. WALCH: Sure. We received notice from Tim Beck who has worked here at the Franklin County Board of Elections for almost 30 years now. His 30-year service anniversary comes up at the end of this month. And after much deliberation, Tim has decided that it is in his best interest to retire.

So in your -- you do have all three folks we're talking about here, their letters of resignation, and Tim did give to us his letter of resignation and retirement effective June 30, at the end of this month.

So he's been -- Tim has worked for the

Board of Elections for years. This has been the only -- I believe the only professional job Tim has had. I think he came here -- he's only 52 or something like that, but Tim has decided that with his 30 years in, it's time for him to retire. So.

2.2

MR. ANTHONY: And I might add, Tim has been a true asset down at that warehouse. I don't know if you guys really spent some time at the warehouse, but that becomes really hectic and just getting everything in and out for each election and that it has to be well organized and be ready to work long hours and be kind of creative.

Tim actually developed a counter for us, he designed a little counter so when we have recounts, he spools the recount. He actually developed a little thing that we could roll the spools in, and if we had to do a hand recount, it goes a lot easier. So he's been a great asset at the Board and he will be missed.

MR. MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept the retirement of Tim Beck effective June 30, 2011, thanking Tim for his 30 years of service to our office and the citizens of Franklin County.

MS. MARINELLO: Second.

MR. ANTHONY: All in favor say "aye."

ALL: Aye.

2.2

MR. ANTHONY: Motion carries.

MR. WALCH: And then our final one is the resignation of Jane Hanley. Jane was presented with a unique opportunity to -- she was offered a position as the deputy director of the Fairfield County Board of Elections, and so she, after much consideration, decided that was a good move for her.

Jane did a great job for us here as one of our clerks in the Voter Services Division.

Handled -- on election night Jane was always the one who handled the paper ballots as they came in on election night at the warehouse for us.

But this was a very good opportunity for her that she felt was the right move for her, and as did the Fairfield County Board of Elections. So she offered her resignation to us effective May 18.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: We congratulate her.

MR. MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, I move that the Board accept the resignation of Jane Hanley effective May 18, 2011, and thank Jane for her service to our office and the citizens of Franklin County. We also wish Jane well in her new position as deputy director of Fairfield County Board of

```
1
    Elections.
2
                 MR. ANTHONY: Is there a second?
3
                 MS. MARINELLO: Second.
4
                 MR. ANTHONY: All those in favor say
5
     "ave."
6
                 ALL:
                       Aye.
7
                               I forgot to add, Jane, one
                 MR. ANTHONY:
8
     of the things that Jane did that really, really
9
    helped us is duplicates. And that became a nightmare
10
     as, yeah, her supervisor can attest to. And Jane
11
     just worked tirelessly to make sure we got through,
12
    figured out and turned in, and so we wouldn't be in
13
    trouble with the Secretary of State's Office.
14
                 So I truly appreciate all the hard work
15
    that Jane did for the Board of Elections and I do
16
    wish her all the best in her new position.
17
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS: Her service has been
    recognized, and now on to bigger and better things.
18
19
                 I'm going to ask that we recess for five
20
    minutes before we quickly get into the next section
21
    of the meeting. I need to run down the hall.
2.2
     in recess for five minutes?
23
                 MR. ANTHONY: Yes, sir.
24
                 (Recess taken.)
25
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS: We will now move
```

```
into -- out of recess and move into a special hearing regarding the petition appeal of Terri Jamison, J-a-m-i-s-o-n.
```

2.2

Ms. Jamison is appealing this Board's decision to deny her certification to the ballot as a candidate for Common Pleas Court judge with a term commencing January 5, 2013.

To kind of review what we believe has brought us here today, I will make a statement including what I think are some of the pertinent milestones.

On March 5, 2012, Terri B. Jamison filed 363 part-petitions and statements of candidacy for the office of Common Pleas Court judge with a term commencing January 5, 2013, for the November 6, 2011, general election.

However, Ms. Jamison indicated verbally and in writing that she wished to run for the Common Pleas general division seat being vacated by Judge Bessey with a commencement date of January 6, 2013.

The petitions she submitted were actually for the Domestic Relations seat which is currently occupied by Judge Geer, Chris Geer.

Upon learning of the error of the petitions, Ms. Jamison provided several affidavits to

the Board on March 6, 2012. The affidavits were in support of her request to be allowed to run for the open Common Pleas Court General Division seat being vacated by Judge Bessey.

2.2

Ms. Jamison also chose to appear and provide sworn testimony at this Board's March 19, 2012, meeting in support of her request to be allowed to run for Judge Bessey's seat, despite the error on the petitions.

At the March 19, 2012, meeting, she stated under oath that she "circulated a nominating petition for the position for the open seat that Bessey is retiring from for the election for November as an independent candidate."

More specifically, Ms. Jamison testified that "While circulating the petition, I specifically told people that I was running for an open seat.

That I would not be running against an incumbent judge."

Ms. Jamison also admitted during the hearing that she did not enter the date she intended on her petitions selecting the dates associated with the domestic seat currently held by Judge Geer who is running for reelection to that seat.

Jason Starling also testified at the

- 1 | March 19, 2012, hearing on Ms. Jamison's behalf.
- 2 Mr. Starling testified that "It was represented to
- 3 | the people who were signing the petition that this
- 4 | was for the open seat in the Common Pleas, the
- 5 | General Division, not the domestic."
- 6 His testimony also contained the
- 7 | following: "We'd ask the Board to take that into
- 8 | consideration when the petition was circulated. To
- 9 those signing it we were representing to them that it
- 10 | was for the General Division open seat."
- In her March 6, 2012, affidavit,
- 12 Ms. Jamison stated that she "solicited signatures
- 13 | from the general public with the understanding that
- 14 she was running for the open seat in the General
- 15 Division."
- 16 She also detailed that she "was writing
- 17 this affidavit and letter to clarify that the
- 18 | nominating petition is for the General Division term
- 19 commencing on January 6, 2013, that will be vacated
- 20 | by Judge John Bessey."
- Ms. Jamison supplied an affidavit from
- 22 | Ricardo T. Gary supporting her request to be allowed
- 23 to run for Judge Bessey's seat. In it Mr. Gary
- 24 states that he "assisted her by soliciting signatures
- 25 from the general public with the understanding that

the nominating petition was to run for the open seat in the General Division."

He went on to affirm that "I am signing this affidavit as a witness that the nominating petition submitted is for the General Division term commencing on January 6, 2013, and because I assisted with soliciting signatures for the nominating petition with that understanding."

Ms. Jamison also supplied an affidavit from Lorena Lacey in support of her request to run for Judge Bessey's seat. In her affidavit, Ms. Lacey states she "assisted her by soliciting signatures from the general public with the understanding that the nominating petition was to run for the open seat in the General Division."

During the May 7, 2012, Board of
Elections meeting, Ms. Jamison also provided sworn
testimony. During her testimony Ms. Jamison stated
"I understand that it does present a problem from the
aspect of it could be seen as a misrepresentation."

Ms. Jamison, during questioning by the Board, agreed that it had been her intent and she had told people signing the petitions that she was running for what was essentially Judge Bessey's seat.

On May 7, 2012, the Board of Elections

```
1
    meeting determined not to approve Ms. Jamison's
2
    petitions because of the misleading circumstances
3
    under which signatures were obtained. Therefore,
    Ms. Jamison was not certified for the ballot as a
4
5
    candidate for the Franklin County Common Pleas judge
6
    with the term commencing January 5, 2013.
7
                 On May 8, 2012, Ms. Jamison filed a
8
    protest of the Franklin County Board of Elections'
9
    decision not to certify her name for the ballot for
    the November 6, 2012, general election. The protest
10
    hearing was scheduled for today, June 4, 2012.
11
12
                 I think that Ms. Jamison was notified by
13
    certified letter and is in consultation with counsel.
    Notice of this meeting was posted.
14
15
                 I think for the record we wanted to
16
     introduce ourselves, members of the Board of
17
```

Elections, as well as have participating staff of the Board of Elections view that as well.

I'm Doug Preiss, Member and Chairman of the Board of Elections.

MR. MANIFOLD: Zach Manifold, Board 21 2.2 Member.

23 MS. MARINELLO: Kimberly Marinello, Board

24 Member.

18

19

20

25

MR. WALCH: Dana Walsh, Deputy Director

```
of the Board of Elections.
```

2.2

MR. ANTHONY: William Anthony, Director of the Board of Elections.

representatives of the Prosecuting Attorney, and state for the record that neither of the Prosecuting Attorney nor the Assistant Prosecuting Attorneys will have any part in the decision on the protest; instead the functions of the Prosecutors will be to answer any questions the Board may have to correct also any procedure handling of the protest, to answer any questions that we may have about any statute or pertinent law, and if necessary, examine or cross-examination of the witnesses.

Would you gentleman introduce yourselves?

MR. SOULAS: Nick Soulas, Jr., First

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, Civil Division.

MR. ANDERSON: Harold J. Anderson,

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney, Civil Division.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: I also will note that Julieanna Hennebert is serving as today's stenographer and that the proceedings are being recorded.

At this time I'd ask that the attorneys and parties introduce themselves and then also in the

```
1
     audience any guests or other persons who intend to
2
    testify today. Perhaps we'll start with Ms. Jamison
    and her counsel and work from there.
3
4
                 MS. JAMISON: Terri Jamison.
5
                 MR. CLINE: Mr. Preiss, my name's Richard
6
    Cline, representing Ms. Jamison.
7
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS: Others who intend to
8
    testify today?
9
                 MR. BROWN: Jeffrey A. Brown.
10
                 MS. GARCIA: Brandy Garcia.
11
                 CHAIRMAN PREISS: And if we know that
    witnesses are going to be called, should we have them
12
13
     identify themselves now or wait?
14
                 MR. SOULAS: Wait until the time of
15
    testimony.
```

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Okay. The Board has reviewed, prior to the hearing, petitions, the protest materials, statutes, and other documents submitted by the parties.

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

25

At this point I would ask that we mark the Jamison affidavit, the Gary affidavit, and the Lacey affidavit, as Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 respectively. I think that has been done.

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. WALCH: That's correct.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: We will also mark the transcript of the March 19, 2012, meeting as Exhibit 4, and the transcript of the May 7, 2012, meeting as Exhibit 5.

2.2

(EXHIBITS MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

CHAIRMAN PREISS: We'd like to remind those present that the protester has the burden of proof and must show by a preponderance of the evidence that the candidate should appear on the ballot. If the protester fails to meet the burden, the candidate will not appear on the ballot.

Asking at this time the other Board members or staff and counsel if there's anything that we have failed to state on the record or mention at this time?

And do you have any questions?

MR. CLINE: No questions, Mr. Chairman. I'm ready to proceed with opening when you're ready for me.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: The court reporter will swear witnesses in one at a time as they appear. I also would like to state that court Rules of Evidence will not be used here but that the Board will decide what witnesses and evidence shall be allowed in order to make the decision on the protest.

I think that we believe that the protester would present witnesses and evidence first, and that the Board may ask questions of the witnesses after each testifies, as well as questions that the Prosecutor's representatives may have.

2.2

And I think having said all of the above, we are ready to proceed.

MR. CLINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,
Members of the Board. My name is Richard Cline. I
represent Terri Jamison in this action.

I'd like to give a brief opening statement and then move to evidence, if that's okay.

Ohio Revised Code 3501.3(A) governs petition for candidacy for election. This code section sets forth the requirements for a facially valid petition and Ms. Jamison met every one of those requirements.

She's a registered voter in Franklin County, and thus is eligible to vote for the judicial race. The petitions contain more than enough valid signatures to qualify for the ballot.

Ms. Jamison obtained the petitions and the part petitions from this Board of Elections within 90 days of required filing date. So pursuant to Revised Code 3501.3(A)(L), the Board is required

to accept the petition as valid as to form.

2.2

Ms. Jamison's petition is valid on its face. In fact, Mr. Chairman, you yourself stated in the March 19, 2012, meeting, and I'm quoting, "One thing is certain, and that's that the paper in front of us which was replicated many times as the receptacle through which you obviously did a lot of hard work, in one way successful hard work, you filed effectively but for the wrong seat from your perspective."

The issue before the Board on March 19, 2012, was whether the Board should allow Ms. Jamison to grant her request to alter the petitions that she submitted and allow her to run for the candidate for the open seat, which we've shorthanded called the "Bessey seat," seat from which Judge Bessey is retiring. The Board concluded that it did not have the power to grant that request and therefore the request was denied.

Ms. Jamison accepted the Board's decision on that issue. And at that meeting Director Anthony told Ms. Jamison what would happen as a consequence of that decision. And again I'm quoting: "The next approach would be that they will start validating the signatures for the date for the office of judge

commencing January 5, 2013. And if upon that you have sufficient valid signatures, you would be on the ballot for that race. Let me repeat, you would be on the ballot for that race unless you withdraw."

Staff has verified that Ms. Jamison has more than sufficient valid signatures to qualify for the ballot. The minutes from your May 7 meeting that you adopted this afternoon demonstrate that. And for those reasons, the Board has a clear, legal duty to certify Ms. Jamison's name to the ballot for the judge position for full term commencing January 5, 2013.

With that, Mr. Chairman, I'd like to pass out some exhibits, and I would like to call Mr. Anthony as a witness.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Okay.

(Witness sworn.)

19 WILLIAM A. ANTHONY, JR.

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

23 By Mr. Cline:

2.2

Q. Mr. Anthony, could you state your name for the record, please?

- A. My name is William Anthony.
- Q. And you are the Director of the Franklin County Board of Elections?
 - A. That is correct.
 - Q. How long have you served as director?
- A. March of 2010. '10? Yeah, March of 2010.
 - Q. Approximately two years?
 - A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

2.2

23

24

- Q. And prior to serving as a Director of the Board of Elections were you a Board member?
 - A. Yes, I was.
 - Q. How long were you a Board member?
- A. I have no idea. I think I was a Board member up until 2008. Maybe from 1996 to 2008.
 - Q. About 12 years, in round numbers?
- A. Almost 13 years.
- Q. Thank you.
 - A. You're welcome.
- Q. You were present for the March 19, 2012, meeting of the Franklin County Board of Elections?
 - A. Yes, sir, I was.
 - Q. Do you have before you what's been marked as Jamison Exhibit 1, which is a copy of pages 18 and 19 from the transcript of that meeting? Could you

review that document, please? Just the first two pages there.

A. Pardon me?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Just the first two pages. I have several exhibits paper-clipped together there.
 - A. I'm sorry.
 - Q. I'm sorry if I confused you.
 - A. All right.
- Q. You've had an opportunity to review the exhibit?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Would you agree that and recognize

 Jamison Exhibit 1 as an excerpt from the March 19,

 2012, minutes?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Could you turn to page 19, and I'm going to ask you to read aloud lines 7 through 12.
- A. "One thing is certain and that's the paper in front of us which was replicated many times as the receptacle through which you obviously did a whole lot of hard work, in one way successful hard work, you filed effectively, but for the wrong seat from your perspective."
 - Q. And who was the speaker?
 - A. I believe Chairman Preiss.

- Q. I'm going to ask you now if you would please turn to the Jamison Exhibit 2, which should be the next exhibit in your packet.
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.2

Q. And could you just read that, please? Read it to yourself.

MR. CLINE: For the record I'll represent that's a copy of page 23 of the transcript from the March 19, 2012, minutes of this Board.

- A. Okay.
- Q. Mr. Anthony, do you recognize that Exhibit 2 is, in fact, a copy of page 23 of the March 19, 2012, minutes?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Could you please read aloud lines 11 through 16?
- A. "Director Anthony:" that was me. "The next approach will be that they will start validating the signatures for the date -- for the office of Judge, commencing January the 5th, 2013. And if, upon that, you have sufficient valid signatures, you will be on the ballot for that race unless you withdraw."
 - Q. The next --
 - A. Want me to continue?

- Q. Yes, sir. That's you speaking, correct?
- A. That's correct.

2.2

- Q. And was it your understanding on March 19, 2012, that if Ms. Jamison's petitions contained sufficient signatures, she would qualify for the ballot?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I'm going to ask you now to turn to

 Jamison Exhibit 3, which should be the next exhibit
 in your packet there. And for the record, I'll
 represent that Exhibit 3, Jamison Exhibit 3 is an
 email from Karen Cotton to Dana Walch. Is that a
 fair representation of what that is?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And just for the record, who is -- what is Ms. Cotton's position within the Board?
 - A. She's a manager of Election Operations.
 - Q. And what is Mr. Walch's position?
- A. He's the Deputy Director of the Franklin County Board of Elections.
- Q. Now, Jamison Exhibit 3, could you just read the body of that email?
- A. "The Jamison petitions are running at 65 percent validity rate."
 - Q. Could you explain for the record what a

"65 percent validity rate" means to those who are in the business of administering elections?

- A. That means that 65 percent of the signatures that day we're looking at are valid.
- Q. I don't want to beat a dead horse, I want to make sure the record's clear. By "valid," that means they would qualify the candidate for the ballot once those signatures had been validated.
- A. That's correct. And what we mean by "validity," we looked through our records and found they were registered voters and that they were able to sign a petition.
 - Q. Thank you.

2.2

And as Director, you were aware that Ms. Jamison's petitions were running at about a 65 percent validity rate, right?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. I'm going to ask you now to look at Jamison Exhibit 4, which should be the next page. And for the record I will indicate that that's a photocopy of an email from Jeffrey Mackey, again to Mr. Walch. Again, is that a fair representation?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And could you just read the body of Mr. Mackey's email?

- A. "With 37 part-petitions left to check, we have validated 34 more signatures than the 3,841 signatures required for her project. The project has about a 68 percent validity rate."
- Q. Mr. Anthony, 3,841, is that the number of signatures that an independent judicial candidate is required to have on their petition?
 - A. In order to -- yes, it is.
- Q. Someone not running within a party primary?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Do you happen to know what is the number if you ran in a party primary?
 - A. Not off the top of my head.
- Q. Significantly less?
 - A. I don't recall. I don't remember. 150.
- 17 Q. 150 or so?
- A. Yeah, something like that. So a lot less.
 - Q. For the record, what is a part-petition?
 - A. That's each page of the petition. If you have a petition, each of the signature pages of a petition would be a part-petition.
 - Q. At the very last exhibit I think is Jamison Exhibit 6. Would you take a look that?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. For the record I'll describe this as a two-page document, front of which says "Form No. 3-H Prescribed by Secretary of State (03-09)," and in the second page ends with a circulator statement. Is that a fair summary of the document?
 - A. It is.
 - Q. And is Jamison Exhibit 6 a part-petition?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. When Mr. Mackey communicated that there were 37 part-petitions left to check, what did you understand that to mean?
- A. That he had checked all but 37 of the part-petitions.
- Q. And how many potential signatures are there on each part-petition?
- A. It varies. There's -- as you can see from Exhibit 6, it could be as high as 18 but each part-petition could have whatever number.
 - Q. Maximum of 18 would be fair to say?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Could have one signature?
 - A. Could have one signature or 18.
- Q. When you read the email that says "with 37 part-petitions left to check," did you understand

that to mean that those 37 petitions were not even looked at yet?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And it would be fairly routine, would it not, once a candidate's validated signatures exceed the required amount with some cushion, to stop checking?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Because there's no point at that point, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is there any doubt in your mind that Ms. Jamison had sufficient valid signatures on the petition to meet the 3,841 requirement?
 - A. No. She had the valid signatures.
 - Q. Thank you.
 - Ms. Jamison's petition was filed timely?
- 18 A. Yes, it was.
- 19 Q. And filing fees were paid?
- 20 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

21

2.2

- Q. So in respect to the form on the filing in terms number of signatures, date of filing, filing fees, all of those formalities were met in this case.
- A. That's correct.
- MR. CLINE: I don't have any other

questions of Mr. Anthony.

2.2

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Okay. Do the Board

Members or counsel wish to ask questions?

- -

EXAMINATION

By Chairman Preiss:

Q. I wanted to ask you a question. Bill, I think that I heard counsel ask you if, and I'll ask counsel to correct me if I'm wrong or we can check the record, I think I heard you ask -- you were asked the question do valid signatures constitute a valid petition.

MR. CLINE: Not sure I asked that question that way but I'd be interested in hearing the answer.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Can we look back? (Record read.)

- Q. That's essentially what I thought I heard which prompted me to ask a question or two. There are, are there not, many deficiencies that could invalidate a petition, many different kinds of for instance --
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. As if a candidate failed to sign the statement of declaration or got the dates wrong.

A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And is it possible for a petition to have in excess of the required valid signatures but still be rejected for other causes?
 - A. Yes.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Okay. That was -- I was trying to make the differentiation.

MR. CLINE: May I follow-up on that?
CHAIRMAN PREISS: Certainly.

_ _ _

FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Cline:

- Q. In your staff's review of Ms. Jamison's petition, was there anything about the form of the petition, the signatures, the submissions, her certification as a candidate, were any of those procedural defects that Chairman Preiss just talked about evident in Ms. Jamison's petition?
- A. When the staff reviewed her petitions, on the face they found her petitions to be correct and valid. What raised the question was the affidavit that she submitted at the March 19th meeting of how she what she said when she circulated the petitions. That became the point of concern for the Board.

And I might add that as a director, as deputy director of the Board, we recommend to the Board members what we see on the face. I don't vote. I'm not a voting member of this Board. Voting members are the four appointed Board members. So even if I said that ballot looked perfect to me, I don't get to vote on them.

- Q. It's the nature of your job, right?
- A. Yes, it's the nature of my job.
- Q. But part of your job is to determine whether the petition is valid on its face.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you have staff that you delegate that task to.
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. You supervise that staff.
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.2

23

- Q. You train them. Right?
 - A. Most of them already came trained.
- Q. You make sure that they're trained.
- 21 A. Yes.
 - Q. And you have confidence that your staff properly found that this petition was valid on its face.
- 25 A. Yes.

- Q. You have no reason to doubt that.
- A. I had none.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.2

- MR. CLINE: No other questions for this witness.
- Oh, I'm sorry, of course, I do have one other question.
 - Q. Is there anywhere on the -- if we could, let's refer to Jamison Exhibit 6. On the front of that about five lines down it says "I further declare that I desire to be a candidate for election to the office of judge." Do you see where I'm talking about there?
 - A. Under the "Nominating Petition" portion of it?
 - Q. Yes.
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. I'm sorry, no, actually the "Statement of Candidacy" portion.
 - A. "Statement of Candidacy"?
 - Q. About one, two, three, four lines down.
- 21 A. Yes. Yes, I see it.
 - Q. "For a full term commencing January 5, 2013."
- These petitions do not require the candidate to say "I'm running for the Judge Bessey

seat," right?

2.2

- A. You know, we had a question of that when the petitions came in and we did request a recommendation or advice, opinion from the Secretary of State's Office. Because it just says "office of judge." Doesn't say which judgeship. And they wrote us back and basically said that that is not a federal flaw in case of deception. So we accepted it as judge.
- Q. And that's part of the due diligence that your office does with every petition, not just this one.
 - A. That's correct.

MR. CLINE: No other questions.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Any other questions for

16 Bill?

(Witness excused.)

MR. CLINE: I'd like to call Ms. Jamison if I might. And if the Board's all right with it, I can have her testify from there or she can pull a chair forward.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Wherever you are comfortable. As long as the stenographer can hear.

(Witness sworn.)

TERRI B. JAMISON

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was

examined and testified as follows.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 | By Mr. Cline:

1

4

6

8

9

10

- Q. Please state your name for the record.
- 7 A. Terri Jamison.
 - Q. And I'm a little hard of hearing and you're a little soft spoken, so I'm going to ask you to speak up, okay?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Are you a resident of Franklin County,

 Ohio?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. Are you a registered voter?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. You circulated the petition for the
 Common Pleas Court judge for the election of November
 '12 -- of November 2012.
- A. Correct.
- Q. Where did you get the nominating petition that you circulated?
- A. Here at the Board of Elections.
- Q. I'm going to ask you to look at Jamison
- 25 Exhibit 6. Do you recognize that document?

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. What is that?
- A. It's one of the part-petitions that I circulated or was circulated on my behalf.
- Q. I'd like to direct your attention to the area that's captioned "Statement of Candidacy." Do you see that area?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Who filled that out?
- A. I did.
- Q. And where did you get the information "full term commencing January 5, 2013"?
- A. Off the Franklin County Court of Common Pleas website.
 - Q. When you circulated your petition, did you always tell each person that signed it exactly the same thing?
 - A. No.
- Q. Did you tell some people that you were running for open seat?
 - A. I did.
 - Q. At the time that you circulated that petition did you believe that to be a true statement?
- A. I did.
 - Q. When you circulated the petition, were

there people who asked you whether you were running for a seat that an incumbent held?

A. One or two.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. Did you say "one or two"?
- A. "One or two."

 $\label{eq:total_constraint} \mbox{The most prevalent question was whether I} \\ \mbox{was republican.}$

- Q. And you had help circulating your petition; is that right?
 - A. I did.
- Q. Did you instruct the people that circulated the petition that they were to say anything about which seat you were running for?
- A. I told them Franklin County Court of Common Pleas.
- Q. I'm going to ask you to look at Jamison

 Exhibit 5, which should be at the bottom of that

 stack there. Would you look at that, please?

 Do you recognize that? Do you recognize that document?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. For the record could you describe that document?
- A. This is an affidavit from Crystal
 Featherstone, who is one of the persons that helped

to circulate my petition.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. And if you are aware, did

 Ms. Featherstone indicate in her affidavit whether or
 not people questioned which judgeship you were
 circulating a petition to run for?
- What she said was "Having known Terri Jamison for over 20," I'm assuming that's years, "when we spoke of her running for Common Pleas judge of Franklin County, I wanted to help in any way I could. Circulating a petition for the signatures of registered voters in Franklin County to place her name on the upcoming ballot worked well for me. Because, I am very active in different events around my community, as well as support my daughter in her school activities and working a full time job, which offer me the opportunity, " I'm assuming "opportunity," "to ask many people if they would register in Franklin County and if they would sign the petition and many did. The question I was asked most was 'is she a Republican?' If you have any questions or other concerns, please feel free to call me at (614)462-0304. Again, I do apologize for not being available to testify."
- Q. Did you ask Ms. Featherstone if she would be available to testify today?

A. I did.

2.2

- Q. And was it your understanding that she had a prior commitment that she could not break?
 - A. Yes; she's on vacation.
- Q. Ms. Jamison, do you have any idea how many people who signed your petition were specifically told that you were running for an open seat?
- A. I do not, but I can say that the most people that were told were attorneys, specifically because I know that when you're working in the court you want to know what seat someone's trying to run for. So I know I did tell attorneys and anyone that would ask me. But I don't know specifically by number.
- Q. Now, you turned in -- do you remember how many part-petitions you turned in?
 - A. 363.
- Q. And did you have a count of the total number of signatures before they were validated? In other words, raw numbers of signatures?
- A. I think he said 5,991, but somewhere in an email he said that he had missed a couple, so it was I think over 6,000.
 - Q. Ms. Jamison, your intention when you

started this was to try to be a candidate for the seat that Judge Bessey is vacating.

A. That's correct.

2.2

- Q. You heard this Board tell you at their March 19th meeting that was not possible.
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Based upon what you were told at that
 March 19th meeting, what was your expectation with
 regard to the Board validating signatures and putting
 your name on the ballot?
- A. Chairman Preiss asked Mr. Anthony what the next procedure would be since they could not change the date, and that's when Mr. Anthony said they would begin to validate the signatures. Because he asked if the signatures had been validated, and Mr. Anthony told him they had not validated the signatures because they were waiting for clarification of what seat the signatures would be validated for.

And that if I had enough valid signatures, that I would be on the ballot for November the 6th, 2012, for the term commencing January the 5th, 2013.

Q. And is it your request of the Board today that they certify you to the ballot for that race?

A. That's correct.

MR. CLINE: I have no other questions of this witness.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Do any Board members or counsel have any questions or wish to engage?

MR. ANDERSON: We may have one or two questions of Ms. Jamison.

- - -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Anderson:

2.2

- Q. Ms. Jamison, I'd just like to clarify your last statement for the record. If I understood what you were saying or what you testified to, Chairman Preiss, at the March 19th meeting, indicated that you would be on the ballot?
- A. No. What I said was Chairman Preiss asked Mr. Anthony since he could not -- they could not change the seat, what would happen next. And that's when Mr. Anthony said we will validate the signatures and I would be on the ballot.

But Chairman Preiss was asking, because the staff had testified, Mr. Mackey had testified that the staff's position was the petition was for January 5, 2013.

Q. And so, just because I'm a little slow

sometimes, to be clear, no Board members made any statements that would give you an expectation of certification to the ballot in that meeting.

2.2

A. Well, I think Chairman Preiss did. Just one second, let me review.

Chairman Preiss said that I had filed effectively but for the wrong seat for my perspective. And then after that he deferred to ask the staff what would happen after that.

Then I received a letter from the Board of Elections --

- Q. Thank you. I think that answers the question.
- A. And actually, Chairman Preiss also said that the only question before the Board at that point was whether or not I was they were going to slate me for the other seat, and then he came back and said, and I believe somewhere you, Mr. Anderson, also said that I had legally submitted a valid petition for the seat for January 5 when you were talking.

So just one second, I'm -- I need the -- do you have a full-size copy of the transcript? It's easier for me to read.

Mr. Anderson, on page 22 of the May -March 19th transcript, you said "I don't think

there's a legal motion that you can make to alter a legally submitted petition."

So you even advised the Board that it was legally submitted yourself.

Q. Okay. We may agree to disagree on that position, but just a couple more questions.

At the time that you circulated the petitions, you were, in fact, intending to run for Judge Bessey's or at least Judge Bessey's seat.

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And you told your circulators or the people that helped you circulate the petitions that that was in fact your intent.
- A. I told some of them. Some of the people that circulated my petition I don't even know.
 - Q. Okay.

2.2

- A. They got them from other people and they circulated them and gave them back to those people and then those people turned them in to me.
- Q. Okay. And anyone who asked you was told that you were running for Judge Bessey's seat?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. One last question. It was only after the petitions were submitted that you changed your mind and decided to run for Judge Geer's seat; is that

correct?

2.2

- A. What actually happened was -- well, yeah, I guess -- no, that's not really true, because I did screen with the Democratic Committee to run and I said I would run for the open seat or the Judge Geer seat.
- Q. Okay, but did you circulate any petitions -- did you knowingly circulate any petitions for Judge Geer's seat?
 - A. No, I did not.

MR. ANDERSON: Thank you. Nothing further.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Do you have anything?

MR. CLINE: I don't have any other

questions for the witness, Mr. Chairman.

(Witness excused.)

MR. CLINE: I would like to move the admission of Jamison Exhibits 1 through 6. I'd ask the Board to accept Jamison Exhibits 1 through 6 as evidence.

 $\label{eq:chairman preiss:} \mbox{I think we just as a}$ matter of course do, yes.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. CLINE: And I don't have any other witnesses to call.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Okay. Thank you very much.

I believe we may have an individual who wishes to testify. Were you finished with your -
MR. CLINE: Mr. Chairman, it's my understanding, and I'd ask the Chair to confirm this,

that there are no written protests to Ms. Jamison's petition except that the Board is treating her request and appeal as a protest. If that's accurate, then I would object to anyone else testifying.

This is Ms. Jamison's opportunity to present evidence in support of her protest, if you will, and I would object to any other testimony.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: That's noted. My inclination is to permit the people who went through the trouble to show up to provide the Board with the full wash of opinion and --

MR. CLINE: The Board gets to make that call.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: But I'll turn it down.

MR. SOULAS: That is correct,

Mr. Chairman. You have the opportunity to allow that witness, he or she will be subject to be cross-examined by Mr. Cline and by the Board as well.

MR. CLINE: And just to -- I apologize if

I spoke over you, but I want to make sure that I was clear on the record. There is no written protest other than Ms. Jamison's letters to the Board. Is that an accurate statement?

2.2

CHAIRMAN PREISS: I'm not aware of such a written protest.

MR. CLINE: I just wanted to make sure the record was clear. Thank you, sir.

 $\label{eq:chairman preiss:} \mbox{ Do we have $--$ this}$ gentleman indicated that you were --

MR. BROWN: It was requested -- Jeffrey

A. Brown. It was requested I be here on behalf of -I was contacted by Brian and I believe Harold wanted

me to be here today to testify as a witness. I don't

care if I do or not. The same thing with Brandy

Garcia.

MR. ANDERSON: I'm sorry?

MR. BROWN: Brandy and I were told to be here today with the possibility -- we personally do not care -- possibility to be called as a witness by you.

MR. ANDERSON: Okay.

MR. BROWN: Contacted by Brian Metzbower, or something along those lines?

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Brian Metzbower, yeah.

We don't care if we are or 1 MR. BROWN: 2 not. We showed up because we were asked to be here. 3 CHAIRMAN PREISS: It was our 4 understanding that you may be folks that were 5 approached and signed the petition, correct, 6 part-petitions in question? 7 MR. BROWN: Correct. 8 CHAIRMAN PREISS: And had a recollection 9 of how you were approached and discourse that was 10 going on. 11 MR. BROWN: Yes. How we were approached, 12 correct. Not necessarily consistent with what's been 13 put on the table today. But I think that was the 14 purpose that we were going to be called as a witness. 15 CHAIRMAN PREISS: Okay. It is -- the 16 Board would wish to hear informed opinions from whoever wishes to give them. It's up to you whether 17 18 you're prepared to do so at this time or not. 19 20 BRANDY GARCIA 21 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 2.2 examined and testified as follows. 23 DIRECT EXAMINATION 24 By Mr. Anderson:

Could you state your name for the record

25

Q.

please?

- A. Brandy Garcia.
- Q. Ms. Garcia, were you approached to sign a nominating petition for Terri B. Jamison for judge?
 - A. I was.
- Q. And can you tell us a little bit about how that occurred, please?
- A. I was approached by Benita Redis

 (phonetic). She asked me to sign a petition for

 Terri for the open seat in Common Pleas. She first
 said open seat for Judge Snyder. I told her Judge

 Snyder was not an open seat, and she corrected
 herself and said Judge Bessey.
- Q. Okay. And you signed that petition based on --
- A. I believed it was for Judge Bessey's open seat, yes.
- Q. Had you known it was for Judge Geer, what would have been your --
 - A. I would not have signed it.
 - Q. Okay.
- MR. ANDERSON: I have nothing else to ask. Thank you.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Cline:

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- 3 Q. Ms. Garcia, where do you work?
- 4 A. At Common Pleas Court.
 - Q. And for what part of the court?
 - A. For Domestic/Juvenile.
 - Q. Domestic and Juvenile?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Which judge do you work for?
- 10 A. Judge Jim Mason.
 - Q. And Judge Geer is also a member of that court?
 - A. He is.
 - Q. Would it have been uncomfortable for you to sign a petition for a candidate to run against the sitting colleague, a judge who sits on the bench today?
 - A. Well, Judge Geer, I know what he does and he's a good judge. I believe in what he does. I mean, I support him.
 - Q. And it would have been uncomfortable for you to do that, right? To sign a petition for someone else to get on the ballot to run against him.
 - A. No.
 - Q. You would have done that if it had been a

```
different candidate?
```

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

- A. I mean it wouldn't be uncomfortable for me to sign Terri's?
- Q. I'm sorry, I'm not asking very good questions. Let me try again.

Would it have been uncomfortable for you to sign a petition for anyone to be a candidate against Judge Geer?

- A. It depends. I don't believe I can answer that. Depends who it would be.
 - Q. And who asked you to be here today?
- 12 A. Brian.
 - Q. Brian, do you know the last name again?
- 14 A. Metzbower.
- MR. CLINE: Can I ask if the court
- 16 reporter knows how to spell that?
- 17 CHAIRMAN PREISS: Metzbower,
- 18 M-e-t-z-b-o-w-e-r.
- MR. CLINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. I
- 20 appreciate that.
- I have no other questions for this
- 22 | witness.
- 23 (Witness excused.)
- 24 (Witness sworn.)
- 25 | - -

JEFFREY A. BROWN

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows.

DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Anderson:

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

24

- Q. Good afternoon. Could you state your name for the record, please?
- A. Good afternoon. Attorney Jeffrey A. Brown.
- Q. Mr. Brown, were you approached by someone to sign a nominating petition for Terri B. Jamison for judge?
 - A. Yes, I was. By Terri herself.
- Q. Okay. And did you happen to sign that petition?
 - A. Yes, I did.
 - Q. Can you recall what you were told regarding the petition prior to your signing?
 - A. Yes. With my short conversation with Terri, I was told that the vacant seat for Judge Bessey. I didn't know all the details. It was a very short conversation, and when I heard that I freely signed; yes.
- Q. If you had been informed that the petition was actually to run against Judge Geer,

61 1 would you have signed the petition? 2 Α. No, I would have not. I would not have 3 signed it. 4 MR. ANDERSON: Okay. Thank you. I don't 5 have -- I don't think I have any further questions. 6 7 CROSS-EXAMINATION 8 By Mr. Cline: 9 Mr. Brown, in the offer of full disclosure where is your office? 10 11 Same building as yours, same office, same 12 floor, same suite. 13 Same telephone number? Q. 14 Yes, we do. For multiple years. Α. 15 And we also share office space with Q. 16 Ms. Jamison? 17 Α. Yes. Just want to make sure that nobody thinks 18 Q. 19 we're doing something underhanded here. 20 Mr. Brown, what is your practice limited 21 to? 2.2 Α. Domestic. 23 And do you practice all over the state or 0.

Primarily in Franklin County.

primarily in Franklin County?

Α.

24

- Q. Is it fair at the say that you've spent your professional life in front of judges like Judge Geer?
 - A. Yes.

2.2

- Q. And is it fair to say that you would not sign a petition for any candidate to oppose a sitting Domestic Relations judge simply because it creates undue discomfort in your working relationships with the judges?
- A. Unless there was some extreme set of circumstances, that's absolutely correct.
- Q. So your statement today is not any reflection on Ms. Jamison's viability as a candidate.
- A. No. I'm up on the sixth floor with all the judges 61 all the way down to 66. That's where I work and I would not have in any shape or form signed for anybody, quite frankly.
- Q. When Ms. Jamison found out that the Board of Elections was taking the position that her petition was, in fact, limited to Judge Geer's seat, did -- are you aware of her taking any actions to try to convince the Board that they should allow her to run for the open seat?
- A. Not really. I learned basically the whole scenario today. I was upset and disappointed

- and kind of stayed away from the whole topic.
- Q. Do you recall notarizing an affidavit for Ms. Jamison?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

23

- Q. And were you aware at the time that the purpose of that affidavit was to try to see if the Board would allow her to run for Judge Bessey's seat?
- A. Yes, I'm aware of that. Yes. Did I have a long discussion with her or any third party? No.

MR. CLINE: No other questions.

MR. ANDERSON: No further questions.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Board members?

Thank you, sir.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

(Witness excused.)

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Are there any other persons in the audience that wishes to testify?

(No response.)

MR. CLINE: Mr. Preiss, if there are no other witnesses, I would like to make a brief closing argument.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Yes, I think that that is certainly appropriate at this time.

MR. CLINE: Thank you, Mr. Chairman,

Members of the Board. With respect to these two

witnesses, strike their names from the signatures, from the petition. The Board has the power to do that. Strike their names and she still has about a thousand extra signatures.

2.2

This is much ado about nothing. The concept that Ms. Jamison deliberately misled anyone as to what she was attempting to accomplish is simply not valid, not prudent by the evidence.

We have a petition that is valid on its face. We have a petition that this Board said can only be for the January 5, 2013, term for the seat currently held by Judge Geer in the Domestic Relations Court, and Ms. Jamison has met all the legal qualifications to be on the ballot.

The Revised Code 3501.3(A) governs this Board's action, and the statute says that the Board shall, the Board shall certify to the ballot those petitioners who meet the statutory qualifications.

Until, Mr. Chairman, until your opening statement, the Board had never articulated what it was about Ms. Jamison's petition that they felt disqualified her from the ballot, despite at least two requests from Ms. Jamison, one from her directly and one from me, for a statement of the reasons why the Board made its decision on May 7 to not certify

Ms. Jamison's name to the ballot.

2.2

I would suggest to the members of the Board that you have a clear, legal duty under 3501.3(A) of the Ohio Revised Code to certify

Ms. Jamison to the ballot, let the voters of Franklin County decide who they want to sit in that judgeship of this issue. That's all we're asking. Put her name on the ballot. That's all we want.

Thank you.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Thank you.

Board have any questions of counsel Mr. Cline before we proceed?

I wanted to make a brief few comments.

You did suggest that the Board has not articulated its reasons. I think that there have been a number of public meetings and news coverage and exchange of statements and documents as to the cloud of questions that have been covered over this matter and the gray area that some of us felt we're operating within.

You've suggested that we have a clear path forward and a clear duty. This path has been cluttered by statements and then counter-statements, sworn statements, and then reversals of one sort or another.

As to the question of valid signatures, valid signatures do not necessarily constitute the valid petition. There are any number of circumstances where petitions can and should be, have been rejected through time under other considerations.

2.2

It is suggested in my mind as to your suggestion that particular names be stricken from the petition under the obvious understanding that petitions submitted had far in excess of valid individual signatures.

It is suggested to me that there is perhaps analogous circumstances where this Board has regularly rejected petitions where there is a discrepancy between the number of signers and the number of -- numeric number placed upon the petition such that when the number of valid signers and the number, numeric number on the petition we're not permitted to strike one or another we may wish to do, and neither is a prospective candidate.

I am further concerned that on the petitions this relates to within the statement of candidacy, with respect to candidate, prospective candidate has desired to be a candidate for January 5 commencement judgeship, and then went in reverse the

position that went to great lengths to convince this Board that she and her agents had rather consistently indicated to signers that wasn't the case, only to reverse that position subsequent to those statements.

So, I wish it were clear or clearer to me, such as you suggested, how we got to where we are today.

Anybody else have anything?

MR. MANIFOLD: Mr. Chairman, this Board has been presented with evidence consisting of five Board exhibits, the six Jamison exhibits, and testimony from William Anthony, Terri Jamison, Jeffrey Brown, and Brandy Garcia.

Based upon that evidence it appears that the misrepresentation made to the signers of the petition regarding the specific seat being sought by Ms. Jamison were serious violations of Revised Code Section 3599.14. If the misrepresentation — misrepresentations were made intentionally. While I do not accuse anyone of intentionally misrepresenting any fact, I move that the Board deny Ms. Jamison's appeal.

CHAIRMAN PREISS: Second.

MR. ANTHONY: All those in favor?

ALL: Aye.

2.2

MR. ANTHONY: Motion carries. CHAIRMAN PREISS: I believe that was the last order of business. MR. ANTHONY: Board motion to adjourn. MS. MARINELLO: Mr. Chairman, move to adjourn. MR. MANIFOLD: Second. MR. ANTHONY: All in favor? ALL: Aye. MR. ANTHONY: Meeting adjourned. (Meeting adjourned at 4:44 p.m.) 1.3

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, June 4, 2012, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Julieanna Hennebert, Registered Professional Reporter and RMR and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires February 19, 2013.

(JUL-1872)